arXiv:1704.07053v1 [math.AC] 24 Apr 2017

Noether's Problem on Semidirect Product Groups

Huah Chu and Shang Huang*

Department of Mathematics, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan

Abstract

Let K be a field, G a finite group. Let G act on the function field $L = K(x_\sigma : \sigma \in G)$ by $\tau \cdot x_\sigma = x_{\tau\sigma}$ for any $\sigma, \tau \in G$. Denote the fixed field of the action by $K(G) = L^G = \left\{ \frac{f}{g} \in L : \sigma(\frac{f}{g}) = \frac{f}{g}, \forall \sigma \in G \right\}$. Noether's problem asks whether $K(G)$ is rational (purely transcendental) over K.

It is known that if $G = C_m \rtimes C_n$ is a semidirect product of cyclic groups C_m and C_n with $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$ a unique factorization domain, and K contains an eth primitive root of unity, where e is the exponent of G, then $K(G)$ is rational over K.

In this paper, we give another criteria to determine whether $K(C_m \rtimes C_n)$ is rational over K. In particular, if p, q are prime numbers and there exists $x \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_q]$ such that the norm $N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_q)/\mathbb{Q}}(x) = p$, then $\mathbb{C}(C_p \rtimes C_q)$ is rational over $\mathbb{C}$.

Keywords: Noether's problem; Rationality problem; Semidirect product group; Monomial action.

*Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: hchu@math.ntu.edu.tw (H. Chu), r02221020@ntu.edu.tw (S. Huang)

1 Introduction

Let G be a finite group and $K(x_\sigma : \sigma \in G)$ be the function field over a field K of $\mathrm{ord}(G)$ variables. The action of G on $K(x_\sigma : \sigma \in G)$ is defined as $\tau \cdot x_\sigma = x_{\tau\sigma}$ for all $\tau, \sigma \in G$. The fixed field is

$$K(G) = K(x_\sigma : \sigma \in G)^G = \left\{ \frac{f}{g} \in K(x_\sigma : \sigma \in G) : \sigma\left(\frac{f}{g}\right) = \frac{f}{g}, \forall \sigma \in G \right\}.$$

Noether's problem asks whether $K(G)$ is rational (or, equivalently, purely transcendental) over K.

The answer to Noether's problem depends on the group G and also on the field K. In 1915, Fischer [8] proved that if G is an abelian group of exponent e and K contains a primitive eth root of unity, then $K(G)$ is rational over K.

Swan [24] provided the first counter-example, proving that if C_n is a cyclic group of order n, then $\mathbb{Q}(C_n)$ is not rational over $\mathbb{Q}$ when $n = 47, 113$ or 233, etc. In fact, when K is any field and G is a finite abelian group, Lenstra [20] has found a necessary and sufficient condition for $K(G)$ to be rational.

The Noether's problem for non-abelian groups is more complicated.

In the case of p-groups, Saltman [23] gave the first counter-example, proving that there exists a group of order p^9 which is not rational. Bogomolov [3] furthur proved that there exists a group of order p^6 which is not rational.

However, when G is a p-group of order p^n and $n \leq 4$, Chu and Kang [7] has proved that $K(G)$ is rational over K if K contains enough primitive roots of unity. And Chu, Hoshi, Hu, Kang, Kunyavskii, Prokhorov [6, 5, 4] discussed the rationality problem when $n = 5$ or 6.

For direct product of groups, there is a reduction theorem. Let K be any field, H and G be finite groups. If $K(H)$ is rational over K, so is $K(H \times G)$ over $K(G)$. In particular, if both $K(H)$ and $K(G)$ are rational over K, so is $K(H \times G)$ over K.

[22, 19]

When G is neither an abelian group nor a p-group, the simplest case is $G = C_m \rtimes C_n$, which is a non-abelian semidirect product of cyclic groups C_m and C_n. In 2009, Kang generalized the results of [9, 11], proving that

Theorem 1 ([18]). *Let K be a field and G be a finite group. Assume that G contains an abelian normal subgroup H such that G/H is cyclic of prime order n. Suppose that a primitive eth root of unity ζ_e lies in K, where e is the exponent of G, and $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$ is a unique factorization domain. If $G \to GL(V)$ is a finite-dimensional linear representation of G over K, then $K(V)^G$ is rational over K.*

In particular, $\mathbb{C}(C_m \rtimes C_n)$ is rational over $\mathbb{C}$, if $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$ is a unique factorization domain.

Note that those integers n for which $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$ is a unique factorization domain are determined by Masley and Montgomery [21]. In fact, $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$ is a unique factorization domain if and only if $1 \leq n \leq 22$, or $n = 24$, 25, 26, 27, 28, 30, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 38, 40, 42, 45, 48, 50, 54, 60, 66, 70, 84, 90.

But so far as we know, it is still an open question whether there exists some prime pair (p, q) such that $\mathbb{C}(C_p \rtimes C_q)$ is not rational over $\mathbb{C}$.

The primary concern of this research is to prove that given a pair of primes (p, q), the fixed field $\mathbb{C}(C_p \rtimes C_q)$ could be rational over $\mathbb{C}$ if there exists $x \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_q]$ with norm p (see Theorem 16.) However the techniques we used throughout the proof suggested that we may not require p to be a prime.

In this paper, we shall prove the more general case about the rationality of $K(C_m \rtimes C_n)$, as stated in the following Main Theorem.

Main Theorem. *Let m, n be positive integers, where n is an odd prime, K be a field such that neither m nor n is multiple of the characteristic of K and both the*

primitive roots of unity ζ_m, ζ_n lie in K. Let

$$G = C_m \rtimes_r C_n = \langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2 : \sigma_1^m = \sigma_2^n = 1, \sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1\sigma_2 = \sigma_1^r \rangle,$$

where $r^n \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. Let $m' = \frac{m}{\gcd(m, r-1)}$. Suppose there exist relatively prime integers $a_1, \alpha_{n-2}, \ldots, \alpha_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$a_1 m' = \alpha_{n-2} r^{n-2} + \alpha_{n-3} r^{n-3} + \cdots + \alpha_1 r + \alpha_0$$

and

$$x = \alpha_{n-2}\zeta_n^{n-2} + \alpha_{n-3}\zeta_n^{n-3} + \cdots + \alpha_1\zeta_n + \alpha_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$$

satisfies the norm $N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)/\mathbb{Q}}(x) = m'$. Then $K(G)$ is rational over K.

In particular, if p, q are odd primes and there exists $x \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_q]$ such that $N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_q)/\mathbb{Q}}(x) = p$. Then $K(C_p \rtimes C_q)$ is rational over K.

Moreover, if $q < 23$, $K(C_p \rtimes C_q)$ is rational over K.

The conditions seem to be artificial. But, in fact, we were able to find a class of groups that meet the conditions (see Corollary 18.)

The problem can be reduced to a Noether-Saltman problem as follows. Given a finite group G and a $\mathbb{Z}G$-lattice A, let $K(A)$ be the quotient field of the group algebra of the free multiplicative abelian group A. A Noether-Saltman problem is the following: Is $K(A)^G$ rational or stably rational over K? (C.f. [2])

In the rest of the paper, we first list some preliminary results and lemmas in Section 2. In Section 3, we prove the Main Theorem in the following steps. Let $G = C_m \rtimes C_n$. To prove the rationality of $K(G)$, we actually prove the rationality of $K(V)^G$ where V is a finite dimensional faithful representation of G, such that $K(V)$ is G-stably isomorphic to $K(x_\sigma : \sigma \in G)$ (see the first paragraph in Section 3.1.) Then we reduce the problem to Noether-Saltman problem by showing that $K(V)^{C_m} = K(M)$, where M is a $\mathbb{Z}C_n$-lattice and $K(M)$ is the quotient field of

the group (Section 3.1.) We complete the proof by manipulating matrices to find a lattice isomorphic to M, whose corresponding fixed subfield is rational over K (Section 3.2, 3.3.)

Finally, we show some consequences of the Main Theorem in Section 4, and conclude the paper by listing some examples which conform to the conditions of the Main Theorem.

Notations. Given any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we use the symbol $[n]$ to denote the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. When we write $\{i_1, \ldots, i_k\}^o \subseteq [n]$, we shall mean that it's a subset of $[n]$ consisting of elements $i_1, \ldots, i_k$ with order $i_1 < \ldots < i_k$. If S is a finite set, we shall denote $|S|$ the number of elements in S.

Let $\mathcal{A} \in M_{m\times n}(R)$, an $m \times n$ matrix over a commutative ring R, we use the corresponding symbols $\mathfrak{a}_i$ and $\mathfrak{a}^i$ to denote the ith column vector and row vector of $\mathcal{A}$, respectively. If $S \subseteq [m]$ and $T \subseteq [n]$, the symbol $\mathcal{A}_{S,T}$ denotes the minor obtained from $\mathcal{A}$ by taking rows in S and columns in T. We also use symbols $\mathcal{A}_{(T)}, \mathcal{A}^{(S)}$ to denote submatrices of $\mathcal{A}$ obtained by deleting columns in T and rows in S, respectively. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a square matrix and $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, the symbol $\mathcal{A}_{ij}$ denotes the minor of $\mathcal{A}$ obtained by deleting the ith row and jth column of $\mathcal{A}$. We shall use the symbol $\det \mathcal{A}$ or $|\mathcal{A}|$ to denote the determinant of $\mathcal{A}$ and the symbol $\operatorname{adj} \mathcal{A}$ to indicate the adjoint matrix of $\mathcal{A}$.

2 Preliminaries

We recall some preliminary results which will be used in our proof.

Theorem 2 ([8])**.** *If G is an abelian group of exponent e $(= \operatorname{lcm}\{\operatorname{ord}(\sigma) : \sigma \in G\})$. Suppose a primitive e-th root of unity ζ_e lies in K, then $K(G)$ is rational over K.*

Theorem 3 ([15, Theorem 1])**.** *Let G be a finite group acting on $L(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, the rational function field of n variables over a field L. Suppose that*

(a) *for any* $\sigma \in G$, $\sigma(L) \subset L$;

(b) *the restriction of the action of* G *to* L *is faithful*;

(c) *for any* $\sigma \in G$, $\begin{pmatrix} \sigma(x_1) \\ \sigma(x_2) \\ \vdots \\ \sigma(x_n) \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{A}(\sigma) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{B}(\sigma)$,

where $\mathcal{A}(\sigma) \in GL_n(L)$ *and* $\mathcal{B}(\sigma)$ *is an* $n \times 1$ *matrix over* L. *Then there exist elements* $z_1, \ldots, z_n \in L(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, *which are algebraically independent over* L, *such that* $L(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = L(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ *and* $\sigma(z_i) = z_i$ *for any* $\sigma \in G$, *any* $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Corollary 4. *Let* $G \to GL(V)$ *be a faithful representation which is irreducible or is a direct sum of inequivalent irreducible representations. It induces an action of* G *on* $K(V)$. *If the fixed subfield* $K(V)^G$ *is rational over* K, *then* $K(G)$ *is rational over* K.

Proof. It is well known that V can be embedded into the regular representation space $W = \oplus_{\sigma \in G} K \cdot x_\sigma$. Taking $L = K(V)$ and $K(W) = L(x_1, \cdots, x_n)$ where $n = \dim W - \dim V$. Then $K(G) = K(W)^G$. By the above theorem, we can find that $K(W) = K(V)(z_1, \cdots, z_n)$ for some z_i. So $K(G) = K(V)^G(z_1, \cdots, z_n)$ and $K(V)^G$ is rational imply that $K(G)$ is rational over K. □

Theorem 5 ([1, Theorem 3.1])**.** *Let* L *be any field,* $L(x)$ *the rational function field of one variable over* L, *and* G *a finite group acting on* $L(x)$. *Suppose that, for any* $\sigma \in G$, $\sigma(L) \subset L$ *and* $\sigma(x) = a_\sigma \cdot x + b_\sigma$ *where* $a_\sigma, b_\sigma \in L$ *and* $a_\sigma \neq 0$. *Then* $L(x)^G = L^G(f)$ *for some polynomial* $f \in L[x]$. *In fact, if* $m = \min\{\deg g(x) : g(x) \in L[x]^G\}$, *then any polynomial* $f \in L[x]^G$ *with* $\deg f = m$ *satisfies the property* $L(x)^G = L^G(f)$.

Monomial actions are crucial in solving rationality problem for linear group actions. A K-automorphism σ is said to be a monomial automorphism if

$$\sigma \cdot x_j = c_j(\sigma) \prod_i x_i^{a_{ij}},$$

where $(a_{ij})_{1\le i,j\le n} \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ and $c_j(\sigma) \in K \setminus \{0\}$. If $c_j(\sigma) = 1$ for all j and for all $\sigma \in G$, the action is said to be purely monomial.

It is known that if L is a rational function field of two or three variables over K and G is a finite group acting on L by monomial K-automorphisms, then the fixed field L^G is rational over K [10, 12, 13, 14, 16].

Now we list some preliminary lemmas, the proofs are straightforward and omitted.

We first introduce Laplace Expansion. Let $S_1, \dots, S_r$ and $T_1, \dots, T_r$ be ordered partitions of $[n]$ such that S_j, T_j contain the same number of elements for each $j = 1, \dots, r$. Write

$$S_j = \{\alpha_1^{(j)}, \alpha_2^{(j)}, \dots, \alpha_{k_j}^{(j)}\}^o \quad \text{and} \quad T_j = \{\beta_1^{(j)}, \beta_2^{(j)}, \dots, \beta_{k_j}^{(j)}\}^o,$$

then the permutation $\begin{pmatrix} S_1 & S_2 & \cdots & S_r \\ T_1 & T_2 & \cdots & T_r \end{pmatrix}$ is defined to be

$$\begin{pmatrix} S_1 & S_2 & \cdots & S_r \\ T_1 & T_2 & \cdots & T_r \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1^{(1)} & \cdots & \alpha_{k_1}^{(1)} & \cdots & \alpha_1^{(r)} & \cdots & \alpha_{k_r}^{(r)} \\ \beta_1^{(1)} & \cdots & \beta_{k_1}^{(1)} & \cdots & \beta_1^{(r)} & \cdots & \beta_{k_r}^{(r)} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Sym}_n,$$

where Sym_n is the symmetric group of order n.

Theorem 6 (Laplace Expansion, [17, pp. 416-417])**.** *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an $n \times n$ matrix over a commutative ring R. Suppose $\{S_1, \dots, S_r\}$ is an ordered partition of $[n]$, then*

$$\det \mathcal{A} = \sum_{\{T_1,\dots,T_r\}} \operatorname{sgn} \begin{pmatrix} S_1 & S_2 & \cdots & S_r \\ T_1 & T_2 & \cdots & T_r \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{S_1,T_1} \cdots \mathcal{A}_{S_r,T_r},$$

where $\{T_1, \dots, T_r\}$ runs through all possible ordered partitions of $[n]$ with $|T_j| = |S_j|$ for all j.

Lemma 7. *Let R be a commutative ring. Suppose $m \le n$, $\mathcal{A} \in M_{m\times n}(R)$ and*

$\mathcal{B} \in M_{n\times m}(R)$. *Let* $T = [m]$, *then*

$$\det \mathcal{AB} = \sum_{\substack{S\subseteq[n]\\|S|=m}} \mathcal{A}_{T,S}\mathcal{B}_{S,T}.$$

Lemma 8. *Let* R *be a commutative ring,* $\mathcal{P} \in M_n(R)$ *and* $\mathcal{Q} = \operatorname{adj}\mathcal{P}$. *Write*

$$\mathcal{P} = [\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_n], \quad \mathcal{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{q}^1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathfrak{q}^n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let $\mathcal{P}_{(1)}$ *be the submatrix of* $\mathcal{P}$ *obtained by deleting the first column and* $\mathcal{Q}^{(1)}$ *be the submatrix of* $\mathcal{Q}$ *obtained by deleting the first row. Then*

$$\mathcal{P}_{(1)}\mathcal{Q}^{(1)} = (\det P)I_n - \mathfrak{p}_1\mathfrak{q}^1.$$

Lemma 9. *Let* R *be a commutative ring. Let*

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{bmatrix} -a_n & & & a_1 \\ & -a_n & & a_2 \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & -a_n & a_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \in M_{(n-1)\times n}(R), \quad \mathcal{B} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & \ldots & b_{1,n-1} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & \ldots & b_{2,n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ b_{n1} & b_{n2} & \ldots & b_{n,n-1} \end{bmatrix} \in M_{n\times(n-1)}(R)$$

and

$$\mathcal{C} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_{11} & \ldots & b_{1,n-1} \\ a_2 & b_{21} & \ldots & b_{2,n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_n & b_{n1} & \ldots & b_{n,n-1} \end{bmatrix} \in M_{n\times n}(R).$$

Then $\det \mathcal{AB} = a_n^{n-2} \det \mathcal{C}$.

Proposition 10. *Let* $\mathcal{A} = (a_{ij}) \in M_n(R)$ *and* $\mathcal{A}_{ij}$ *denote the minor obtained by deleting* i*th row and* j*th column of* $\mathcal{A}$. *Let* $\{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_{n-2}\}^o$ *and* $\{j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_{n-2}\}^o$ *be subsets of* $[n]$ *such that*

$$\{1, \ldots, n\}\backslash\{i_1, \ldots, i_{n-2}\} = \{k_1, k_2\}^o,$$
$$\{1, \ldots, n\}\backslash\{j_1, \ldots, j_{n-2}\} = \{l_1, l_2\}^o.$$

Then

$$\begin{vmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{i_1j_1} & \mathcal{A}_{i_1j_2} & \cdots & \mathcal{A}_{i_1j_{n-2}} \\ \mathcal{A}_{i_2j_1} & \mathcal{A}_{i_2j_2} & \cdots & \mathcal{A}_{i_2j_{n-2}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \mathcal{A}_{i_{n-2}j_1} & \mathcal{A}_{i_{n-2}j_2} & \cdots & \mathcal{A}_{i_{n-2}j_{n-2}} \end{vmatrix} = (\det \mathcal{A})^{n-3} \begin{vmatrix} a_{k_1l_1} & a_{k_1l_2} \\ a_{k_2l_1} & a_{k_2l_2} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Proof.

Step 1. Consider first that $R = \mathbb{Z}[x_{ij}]$, where x_{ij} are variables for $1 \le i, j \le n$. Put $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = (x_{ij})$ and

$$\tilde{\mathcal{U}} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i_1,1} & \cdots & \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i_1,l_1} & \cdots & \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i_1,l_2} & \cdots & \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i_1,n} \\ \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i_2,1} & \cdots & \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i_2,l_1} & \cdots & \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i_2,l_2} & \cdots & \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i_2,n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i_{n-2},1} & \cdots & \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i_{n-2},l_1} & \cdots & \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i_{n-2},l_2} & \cdots & \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i_{n-2},n} \\ 0 & \cdots & x_{k_1,l_1} & \cdots & x_{k_1,l_2} & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & x_{k_2,l_1} & \cdots & x_{k_2,l_2} & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\tilde{\mathcal{V}} = \begin{bmatrix} (-1)^{i_1+1}x_{i_1,1} & \cdots & (-1)^{i_{n-2}+1}x_{i_{n-2},1} & (-1)^{k_1+1}x_{k_1,1} & (-1)^{k_2+1}x_{k_2,1} \\ (-1)^{i_1+2}x_{i_1,2} & \cdots & (-1)^{i_{n-2}+2}x_{i_{n-2},2} & (-1)^{k_1+2}x_{k_1,2} & (-1)^{k_2+2}x_{k_2,2} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ (-1)^{i_1+n}x_{i_1,n} & \cdots & (-1)^{i_{n-2}+n}x_{i_{n-2},n} & (-1)^{k_1+n}x_{k_1,n} & (-1)^{k_2+n}x_{k_2,n} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We get

$$\tilde{\mathcal{U}}\tilde{\mathcal{V}} = \left[\begin{array}{ccc:c} \det\tilde{\mathcal{A}} & & & \\ & \ddots & & 0 \\ & & \det\tilde{\mathcal{A}} & \\ \hdashline * & & & \begin{bmatrix} x_{k_1,l_1} & x_{k_1,l_2} \\ x_{k_2,l_1} & x_{k_2,l_2} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} (-1)^{k_1+l_1}x_{k_1,l_1} & (-1)^{k_2+l_1}x_{k_2,l_1} \\ (-1)^{k_1+l_2}x_{k_1,l_2} & (-1)^{k_2+l_2}x_{k_2,l_2} \end{bmatrix} \end{array}\right]. \tag{1}$$

Consider their determinants, we have

$$\det\tilde{\mathcal{U}} = (-1)^{(n-l_2)+(n-1-l_1)} \begin{vmatrix} x_{k_1,l_1} & x_{k_1,l_2} \\ x_{k_2,l_1} & x_{k_2,l_2} \end{vmatrix} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i_1j_1} & \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i_1j_2} & \cdots & \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i_1j_{n-2}} \\ \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i_2j_1} & \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i_2j_2} & \cdots & \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i_2j_{n-2}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i_{n-2}j_1} & \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i_{n-2}j_2} & \cdots & \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i_{n-2}j_{n-2}} \end{vmatrix},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \det\tilde{\mathcal{V}} &= (-1)^{(n-k_2)+(n-1-k_1)}\det((-1)^{i+j}x_{ij}) \\ &= (-1)^{(n-k_2)+(n-1-k_1)}\det\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \end{aligned}$$

and from (1), we have

$$\det\tilde{\mathcal{U}}\tilde{\mathcal{V}} = (-1)^{k_1+k_2+l_1+l_2}(\det\tilde{\mathcal{A}})^{n-2}\left|\begin{smallmatrix} x_{k_1,l_1} & x_{k_1,l_2} \\ x_{k_2,l_1} & x_{k_2,l_2}\end{smallmatrix}\right|^2.$$

Combine results above, we obtain

$$(\det\tilde{\mathcal{A}})^{n-2}\left|\begin{smallmatrix} x_{k_1,l_1} & x_{k_1,l_2} \\ x_{k_2,l_1} & x_{k_2,l_2}\end{smallmatrix}\right|^2 = (\det\tilde{\mathcal{A}})\left|\begin{smallmatrix} x_{k_1,l_1} & x_{k_1,l_2} \\ x_{k_2,l_1} & x_{k_2,l_2}\end{smallmatrix}\right| \cdot \begin{vmatrix} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i_1j_1} & \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i_1j_2} & \cdots & \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i_1j_{n-2}} \\ \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i_2j_1} & \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i_2j_2} & \cdots & \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i_2j_{n-2}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i_{n-2}j_1} & \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i_{n-2}j_2} & \cdots & \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i_{n-2}j_{n-2}} \end{vmatrix}.$$

If $\det\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \neq 0$ and $\left|\begin{smallmatrix} x_{k_1,l_1} & x_{k_1,l_2} \\ x_{k_2,l_1} & x_{k_2,l_2}\end{smallmatrix}\right| \neq 0$, then the statement holds for $R = \mathbb{Z}[x_{ij}]$.

Step 2. Define the polynomial $g \in \mathbb{Z}[x_{ij}]$ by

$$g = (\det\tilde{\mathcal{A}})^{n-3}\left|\begin{smallmatrix} x_{k_1l_1} & x_{k_1l_2} \\ x_{k_2l_1} & x_{k_2l_2}\end{smallmatrix}\right| - \begin{vmatrix} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i_1j_1} & \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i_1j_2} & \cdots & \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i_1j_{n-2}} \\ \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i_2j_1} & \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i_2j_2} & \cdots & \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i_2j_{n-2}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i_{n-2}j_1} & \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i_{n-2}j_2} & \cdots & \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i_{n-2}j_{n-2}} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Then by Step 1, $g(x_{ij}) = 0$ if $\det\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \neq 0$ and $x_{k_1,l_1}x_{k_2,l_2} - x_{k_1,l_2}x_{k_2,l_1} \neq 0$. Hence we have $g(\mathfrak{a}) = 0$ for those $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathbb{C}^{n^2}$ which do not belong to the union of varieties $V(\det\tilde{\mathcal{A}}) \cup V(x_{k_1,l_1}x_{k_2,l_2} - x_{k_1,l_2}x_{k_2,l_1})$.

Note that polynomial functions are continuous and all open sets are dense in Zariski topological space $\mathbb{C}^{n^2}$. Hence $g = 0$ on open set $V(\det\tilde{\mathcal{A}})^c \cap V(x_{k_1,l_1}x_{k_2,l_2} - x_{k_1,l_2}x_{k_2,l_1})^c$, which implies $g = 0$ on the whole space $\mathbb{C}^{n^2}$.

For general commutative ring R, we have a well-defined ring homomorphism $\phi : \mathbb{Z}[x_{ij}] \to R$ such that $x_{ij} \mapsto a_{ij}$. Apply ϕ to g, the statement follows.

□

Definition 11. *Given an $n \times (n-1)$ matrix Ω, define*

$$\wedge^{n-1}\Omega = \langle(-1)^{n-1}\omega_1, (-1)^{n-2}\omega_2, \ldots, (-1)\omega_{n-1}, \omega_n\rangle,$$

where ω_i is the minor of Ω by deleting the ith row.

Proposition 12. *Let R be a commutative ring and $n \geq 3$. Suppose $\mathcal{A} = (a_{ij}) \in M_n(R)$ and $\mathcal{P} = (p_{ij}) \in SL_n(R)$. Define $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} = (b_{ij}) = \mathcal{P}^{-1}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}$ and put*

$$\mathfrak{p} := \mathfrak{p}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} p_{11} \\ p_{21} \\ \vdots \\ p_{n1} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \mathfrak{q} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{21} \\ \vdots \\ b_{n1} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \mathcal{B} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{22} & \cdots & b_{2n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ b_{n2} & \cdots & b_{nn} \end{bmatrix}.$$

If

$$\langle u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n \rangle = \wedge^{n-1}[\mathfrak{p}, \mathcal{A}\mathfrak{p}, \mathcal{A}^2\mathfrak{p}, \ldots, \mathcal{A}^{n-2}\mathfrak{p}],$$
$$\langle w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_{n-1} \rangle = \wedge^{n-2}[\mathfrak{q}, \mathcal{B}\mathfrak{q}, \mathcal{B}^2\mathfrak{q}, \ldots, \mathcal{B}^{n-3}\mathfrak{q}],$$

then

$$\begin{vmatrix} 0 & u_1 & \cdots & u_n \\ p_{11} & a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ p_{n1} & a_{n1} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{vmatrix}_{(n+1)\times(n+1)} = - \begin{vmatrix} 0 & w_1 & \cdots & w_{n-1} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & \cdots & b_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ b_{n1} & b_{n2} & \cdots & b_{nn} \end{vmatrix}_{n\times n}.$$

Proof.

Step 1. We shall prove that

$$\langle 0, \wedge^{n-2}[\mathfrak{q}, \mathcal{B}\mathfrak{q}, \mathcal{B}^2\mathfrak{q}, \ldots, \mathcal{B}^{n-3}\mathfrak{q}] \rangle = \wedge^{n-1}[\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathcal{A}\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathcal{A}^2\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{A}^{n-2}\mathfrak{p}_1] \cdot \mathcal{P}.$$

We first show that the first component of $\wedge^{n-1}[\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathcal{A}\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathcal{A}^2\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{A}^{n-2}\mathfrak{p}_1] \cdot \mathcal{P}$ is zero.

By definition, we have

$$\wedge^{n-1}[\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathcal{A}\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{A}^{n-2}\mathfrak{p}_1] \cdot \mathfrak{b} = \left|[\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathcal{A}\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{A}^{n-2}\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{b}]\right|$$

for all $\mathfrak{b} = \langle b_1, \ldots, b_n \rangle^t$. Hence, the ith component of $\wedge^{n-1}[\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathcal{A}\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{A}^{n-2}\mathfrak{p}_1] \cdot \mathcal{P}$ is the determinant

$$\left|[\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathcal{A}\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{A}^{n-2}\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_i]\right|, \tag{2}$$

which implies that the first component is zero.

Therefore, it remains to show that the ith component of $\wedge^{n-2}[\mathfrak{q}, \mathcal{B}\mathfrak{q}, \mathcal{B}^2\mathfrak{q}, \ldots, \mathcal{B}^{n-3}\mathfrak{q}]$

is equal to the $(i+1)$th component of $\wedge^{n-1}[\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathcal{A}\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathcal{A}^2\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{A}^{n-2}\mathfrak{p}_1] \cdot \mathcal{P}$.

By doing proper column operations on (2), the $(i+1)$th component of $\wedge^{n-1}[\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathcal{A}\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{A}^{n-2}\mathfrak{p}_1] \cdot \mathcal{P}$ becomes

$$(-1)^{n-i+1} \left|[\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathcal{A}\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{A}^{i-1}\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_{i+1}, \mathcal{A}^i\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{A}^{n-2}\mathfrak{p}_1]\right|. \tag{3}$$

Let $\mathcal{V} = [\mathcal{A}\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathcal{A}^2\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{A}^{n-2}\mathfrak{p}_1] \in M_{n\times(n-2)}(R)$. Apply Laplace Expansion (Theorem 6) to (3) by taking $T' = \{1, i+1\}$ and $T = [n]\backslash T'$, then the $(i+1)$th component of $\wedge^{n-1}[\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathcal{A}\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{A}^{n-2}\mathfrak{p}_1] \cdot \mathcal{P}$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
&(-1)^{n-i+1} \left|[\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathcal{A}\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{A}^{i-1}\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_{i+1}, \mathcal{A}^i\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{A}^{n-2}\mathfrak{p}_1]\right| \\
&= (-1)^{n-i+1} \sum_{\substack{S=[n]\backslash\{k,l\}^o \\ S'=\{k,l\}^o}} \operatorname{sgn}\begin{pmatrix} S & S' \\ T & T' \end{pmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} p_{k1} & p_{k,i+1} \\ p_{l1} & p_{l,i+1} \end{vmatrix} \mathcal{V}_{S,\bar{T}} \\
&= (-1)^{n-i+1} \sum_{\substack{S=[n]\backslash\{k,l\}^o \\ S'=\{k,l\}^o}} (-1)^{k+l+i} \begin{vmatrix} p_{k1} & p_{k,i+1} \\ p_{l1} & p_{l,i+1} \end{vmatrix} \mathcal{V}_{S,\bar{T}},
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where $\bar{T} = [n-2]$.

On the other hand, consider the ith component of $\wedge^{n-2}[\mathfrak{q}, \mathcal{B}\mathfrak{q}, \mathcal{B}^2\mathfrak{q}, \ldots, \mathcal{B}^{n-3}\mathfrak{q}]$. Write $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{P}^{-1} = \operatorname{adj}\mathcal{P}$. Let $\mathcal{Q}^{(1)}$ denote the submatrix of $\mathcal{Q}$ by deleting the first row and $\mathcal{P}_{(1)}$ denote the submatrix of $\mathcal{P}$ by deleting the first column, we have

$$\mathcal{B}^i\mathfrak{q} = (\mathcal{Q}^{(1)}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}_{(1)})^i(\mathcal{Q}^{(1)}\mathcal{A}\mathfrak{p}_1) = \mathcal{Q}^{(1)}(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}_{(1)}\mathcal{Q}^{(1)})^i\mathcal{A}\mathfrak{p}_1.$$

Let $\mathcal{Q}^{(1,i+1)}$ denote the submatrix of $\mathcal{Q}$ by deleting the first and $(i+1)$th rows, then the ith component of $\wedge^{n-2}[\mathfrak{q}, \mathcal{B}\mathfrak{q}, \ldots, \mathcal{B}^{n-3}\mathfrak{q}]$ is

$$(-1)^{n-i+1} \left|[\mathcal{Q}^{(1,i+1)}\mathcal{A}\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathcal{Q}^{(1,i+1)}(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}_{(1)}\mathcal{Q}^{(1)})\mathcal{A}\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{Q}^{(1,i+1)}(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}_{(1)}\mathcal{Q}^{(1)})^{n-3}\mathcal{A}\mathfrak{p}_1]\right|. \tag{5}$$

The equation above can actually be simplified to

$$(-1)^{n-i+1}\left|[\mathcal{Q}^{(1,i+1)}\mathcal{A}\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathcal{Q}^{(1,i+1)}\mathcal{A}^2\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{Q}^{(1,i+1)}\mathcal{A}^{n-2}\mathfrak{p}_1]\right|. \tag{6}$$

Indeed, by Lemma 8, we have

$$\mathcal{Q}^{(1,i+1)}(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P}_{(1)}\mathcal{Q}^{(1)})^j\mathcal{A}\mathfrak{p}_1 = \mathcal{Q}^{(1,i+1)}\{\mathcal{A}(\det\mathcal{P}\cdot I_n - \mathfrak{p}_1\mathfrak{q}^1)\}^j\mathcal{A}\mathfrak{p}_1. \tag{7}$$

Expand it completely, then every monomial is of the following two types

(a) $\mathcal{Q}^{(1,i+1)}\mathcal{A}^{j+1}\mathfrak{p}_1$, or

(b) $\mathcal{Q}^{(1,i+1)}\mathcal{A}^k(\mathcal{A}\mathfrak{p}_1\mathfrak{q}^1)\cdots\mathcal{A}\mathfrak{p}_1$ for some $0 \le k < j$.

Since $\mathfrak{q}^1\cdots\mathcal{A}\mathfrak{p}_1$ is a constant, the later is actually of the form $c_k\mathcal{Q}^{(1,i+1)}\mathcal{A}^{k+1}\mathfrak{p}_1$ for some $c_k \in R$. Thus (7) is equal to

$$\sum_{k=0}^{j-1} c_k\mathcal{Q}^{(1,i+1)}\mathcal{A}^{k+1}\mathfrak{p}_1 + \mathcal{Q}^{(1,i+1)}\mathcal{A}^{j+1}\mathfrak{p}_1. \tag{8}$$

Substitute (8) into (5) and by linearity of determinant, we get (6).

By now, it remains to show that (6) and (4) are equal.

Recall that $\mathcal{V} = [\mathcal{A}\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathcal{A}^2\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{A}^{n-2}\mathfrak{p}_1]$. If we put $\mathcal{U} = [\mathfrak{q}^2, \mathfrak{q}^3, \cdots, \hat{\mathfrak{q}}^{i+1}, \cdots, \mathfrak{q}^n]^t$, then (6) is equal to $(-1)^{n-i+1}\det\mathcal{U}\mathcal{V}$. By Lemma 7, we have

$$\det\mathcal{U}\mathcal{V} = \sum_{|S|=n-2} \mathcal{U}_{\bar{T},S}\mathcal{V}_{S,\bar{T}},$$

where $\bar{T} = [n-2]$. Note that $\mathcal{Q} = \operatorname{adj}\mathcal{P}$, the entries of $\mathcal{U}$ can be written explicitly:

$$\mathcal{U} = \begin{bmatrix} -\mathcal{P}_{12} & \mathcal{P}_{22} & \cdots & (-1)^{n+2}\mathcal{P}_{n2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{1,i+1} & \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{2,i+1} & \cdots & \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{n,i+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ (-1)^{n+1}\mathcal{P}_{1n} & (-1)^{n+2}\mathcal{P}_{2n} & \cdots & \mathcal{P}_{nn} \end{bmatrix}.$$

And if $S = [n]\backslash\{k,l\}^o$, we can apply Proposition 10 to get

$$\mathcal{U}_{\bar{T},S} = (-1)^{k+l+i} \begin{vmatrix} p_{k1} & p_{l1} \\ p_{k,i+1} & p_{l,i+1} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&(-1)^{n-i+1} \left|[\mathcal{Q}^{(1,i+1)}\mathcal{A}\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathcal{Q}^{(1,i+1)}\mathcal{A}^2\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{Q}^{(1,i+1)}\mathcal{A}^{n-2}\mathfrak{p}_1]\right| \\
&= (-1)^{n-i+1} \det \mathcal{U}\mathcal{V} \\
&= (-1)^{n-i+1} \sum_{|S|=n-2} \mathcal{U}_{\bar{T},S}\mathcal{V}_{S,\bar{T}} \\
&= (-1)^{n-i+1} \sum_{\substack{S=[n]\backslash\{k,l\}^o \\ S'=\{k,l\}^o}} (-1)^{k+l+i} \begin{vmatrix} p_{k1} & p_{k,i+1} \\ p_{l1} & p_{l,i+1} \end{vmatrix} \mathcal{V}_{S,\bar{T}},
\end{aligned}$$

which concludes that (4) and (6) are equal. Thus the $(i+1)$th component of $\wedge^{n-1}[\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathcal{A}\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathcal{A}^2\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{A}^{n-2}\mathfrak{p}_1]\cdot\mathcal{P}$ is equal to the ith component of $\wedge^{n-2}[\mathfrak{q}, \mathcal{B}\mathfrak{q}, \ldots, \mathcal{B}^{n-3}\mathfrak{q}]$. The proof is completed.

Step 2. We shall show that

$$\begin{vmatrix} 0 & u_1 & \cdots & u_n \\ p_{11} & a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ p_{n1} & a_{n1} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{vmatrix} = - \begin{vmatrix} 0 & w_1 & \cdots & w_{n-1} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & \cdots & b_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ b_{n1} & b_{n2} & \cdots & b_{nn} \end{vmatrix}.$$

By the arguments similar to those in Step 2 of Proposition 10, we may assume $R = \mathbb{C}$ and $p_{n1} \neq 0$. Denote ith row of $\mathcal{Q}$ by $\mathfrak{q}^i$ and jth column of $\mathcal{P}$ by $\mathfrak{p}_j$, then by Step 1 we have

$$\mathcal{D} := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & w_1 & \cdots & w_{n-1} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & \cdots & b_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ b_{n1} & b_{n2} & \cdots & b_{nn} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle u_1,\ldots,u_n\rangle\mathcal{P} \\ \mathfrak{q}^2\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P} \\ \vdots \\ \mathfrak{q}^n\mathcal{A}\mathcal{P} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle u_1,\ldots,u_n\rangle \\ \mathcal{Q}^{(1)}\mathcal{A} \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{P}, \tag{9}$$

where $\mathcal{Q}^{(1)}$ is the submatrix of $\mathcal{Q}$ by deleting the first row.

Put $\mathcal{S} = \begin{bmatrix} -p_{n1} & & & p_{11} \\ & -p_{n1} & & p_{21} \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & -p_{n1} & p_{n-1,1} \end{bmatrix}$ and $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{S}\mathcal{P}$. Let $\mathcal{P}_{(1)}, \mathcal{R}_{(1)}$ be submatrices

obtained by deleting the first column of $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{R}$, respectively. Then we get $\mathcal{R}_{(1)} = \mathcal{S}\mathcal{P}_{(1)}$.

Note that the first column of $\mathcal{R}$ is zero, hence we have

$$\mathcal{R}\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{R}\begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{q}^1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathfrak{q}^n \end{bmatrix} = \mathcal{R}_{(1)}\begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{q}^2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathfrak{q}^n \end{bmatrix} = \mathcal{R}_{(1)}\mathcal{Q}^{(1)}. \tag{10}$$

Thus from (9), (10) and definition of $\mathcal{R}$, we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \\ & \mathcal{R}_{(1)} \end{bmatrix}\mathcal{D} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle u_1,\ldots,u_n\rangle \\ \mathcal{R}_{(1)}\mathcal{Q}^{(1)}\mathcal{A} \end{bmatrix}\mathcal{P} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle u_1,\ldots,u_n\rangle \\ \mathcal{R}\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{A} \end{bmatrix}\mathcal{P} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle u_1,\ldots,u_n\rangle \\ \mathcal{S}\mathcal{A} \end{bmatrix}\mathcal{P}. \tag{11}$$

By Lemma 9 and $\det\mathcal{P} = 1$, we have

$$\det\mathcal{R}_{(1)} = \det\mathcal{S}\mathcal{P}_{(1)} = p_{n1}^{n-2}\det\mathcal{P} = p_{n1}^{n-2},$$

and by (11)

$$\det\mathcal{D} = \frac{1}{p_{n1}^{n-2}}\begin{vmatrix} \langle u_1,\ldots,u_n\rangle \\ \mathcal{S}\mathcal{A} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Expand along the first row, we obtain

$$\det\mathcal{D} = \frac{1}{p_{n1}^{n-2}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{i-1}u_i\det\mathcal{S}\mathcal{A}_{(i)}.$$

Moreover, by Lemma 9, we have

$$\det\mathcal{S}\mathcal{A}_{(i)} = p_{n1}^{n-2}\begin{vmatrix} p_{11} & a_{11} & \cdots & \hat{a_{1i}} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ p_{n1} & a_{n1} & \cdots & \hat{a_{ni}} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Hence we get

$$\det\mathcal{D} = -\begin{vmatrix} 0 & u_1 & \cdots & u_n \\ p_{11} & a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ p_{n1} & a_{n1} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{vmatrix}.$$

□

3 Main Theorem

In this section, we prove the following Main Theorem about rationality of $K(C_m \rtimes C_n)$.

Main Theorem. *Let m, n be positive integers, where n is an odd prime, K be a field such that neither m nor n is multiple of the characteristic of K and both the primitive roots of unity ζ_m, ζ_n lie in K. Let*

$$G = C_m \rtimes_r C_n = \langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2 : \sigma_1^m = \sigma_2^n = 1, \sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1\sigma_2 = \sigma_1^r \rangle,$$

where $r^n \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. Let $m' = \frac{m}{\gcd(m, r-1)}$. Suppose there exist relatively prime integers $a_1, \alpha_{n-2}, \ldots, \alpha_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$a_1 m' = \alpha_{n-2} r^{n-2} + \alpha_{n-3} r^{n-3} + \cdots + \alpha_1 r + \alpha_0$$

and

$$x = \alpha_{n-2}\zeta_n^{n-2} + \alpha_{n-3}\zeta_n^{n-3} + \cdots + \alpha_1\zeta_n + \alpha_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$$

satisfies the norm $N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)/\mathbb{Q}}(x) = m'$. Then $K(G)$ is rational over K.

The proof comprises three parts. In 3.1, we reduce the group action to a monomial action with the corresponding matrix

$$\Delta = \begin{bmatrix} r & & & -\frac{x_{n-1}}{m'} \\ m' & & & -x_{n-2} \\ & 1 & & -x_{n-3} \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & 1\ 0 & -x_2 \\ & & 0\ 1 & -x_1 \end{bmatrix} \in GL_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z}),$$

where $x_j = \frac{r^{j+1}-1}{r-1}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$. We then show that if Δ is conjugate to a matrix of the form

$$\Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} a & & * \\ 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & \\ 0 & \ddots & \\ & & 1\ b \end{bmatrix}$$

in $GL_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z})$, then $K(G)$ is rational over K.

In 3.2, we give an algorithm to transform Δ to a matrix of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & & & * \\ e_1 & e_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & e_{n-2}\ b \end{bmatrix}.$$

In 3.3, we show that $e_{n-2} = \frac{N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)/\mathbb{Q}}(x)}{m' e_{n-3}^2 e_{n-4}^3 \cdots e_1^{n-2}}$, in which $x \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$ is chosen in 3.2 satisfying $N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)/\mathbb{Q}}(x) = m'$, and complete the proof by deducing that $e_1 = e_2 = \cdots = e_{n-2} = 1$.

3.1

Let the group G and the field K be as in the Main Theorem. A faithful representation of G on K^n is given by

$$\sigma_1 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \zeta & & & & \\ & \zeta^r & & & \\ & & \zeta^{r^2} & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & \zeta^{r^{n-1}} \end{bmatrix}, \sigma_2 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & & 1 \\ 1 & & & & \\ & 1 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & 1\ 0 & \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\zeta = \zeta_m$. This representation induces an action of G on $K(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n)$:

$$\sigma_1 : X_1 \mapsto \zeta X_1,\ X_2 \mapsto \zeta^r X_2,\ \ldots,\ X_{n-1} \mapsto \zeta^{r^{n-2}} X_{n-1},\ X_n \mapsto \zeta^{r^{n-1}} X_n;$$
$$\sigma_2 : X_1 \mapsto X_2 \mapsto X_3 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto X_{n-1} \mapsto X_n \mapsto X_1.$$

The fixed subfield is

$$K(X_1, \ldots, X_n)^G = \{h \in K(X_1, \ldots, X_n) : \sigma h = h, \forall \sigma \in G\}.$$

By Corollary 4, if $K(X_1, \ldots, X_n)^G$ is rational over K then $K(G)$ is rational over K.

Define $Y_1 = X_1$, $Y_2 = X_2/X_1, Y_3 = X_3/X_2, \ldots, Y_n = X_n/X_{n-1}$, then the action

of G on $K(Y_1,\dots,Y_n)=K(X_1,\dots,X_n)$ is

$$\sigma_1 : Y_1 \mapsto \zeta Y_1,\ Y_2 \mapsto \zeta^{r-1}Y_2,\ \dots,\ Y_{n-1} \mapsto \zeta^{r^{n-2}-r^{n-3}}Y_{n-1},\ Y_n \mapsto \zeta^{r^{n-1}-r^{n-2}}Y_n;$$
$$\sigma_2 : Y_1 \mapsto Y_1Y_2,\ Y_2 \mapsto Y_3 \mapsto Y_4 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto Y_n \mapsto \frac{1}{Y_2Y_3\cdots Y_n}.$$

Note that the action is linear on Y_1 with coefficients in $K(Y_2,\dots,Y_n)$, so by Theorem 5,

$$K(Y_1,Y_2,\dots,Y_n)^G = K(Y_2,Y_3,\dots,Y_n)^G(Y_1'), \tag{12}$$

for some Y_1'. Let $m'=\frac{m}{\gcd(m,r-1)}$ and define

$$Z_1 = Y_2^{m'}, Z_2 = Y_3/Y_2^r, Z_3 = Y_4/Y_3^r, \dots, Z_{n-1} = Y_n/Y_{n-1}^r.$$

Then $K(Y_2,Y_3,\dots,Y_n)^{\langle\sigma_1\rangle} = K(Z_1,Z_2,\dots,Z_{n-1})$ and

$$\sigma_2 : Z_1 \mapsto Z_1^r Z_2^{m'}, Z_2 \mapsto Z_3 \mapsto Z_4 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto Z_{n-1} \mapsto \frac{1}{Z_1^{\frac{r^n-1}{m'(r-1)}} Z_2^{\frac{r^{n-1}-1}{r-1}} \cdots Z_{n-1}^{\frac{r^2-1}{r-1}}}. \tag{13}$$

This action is a purely monomial action with the corresponding matrix Δ,

$$\Delta = \begin{bmatrix} r & & & -\frac{x_{n-1}}{m'} \\ m' & & & -x_{n-2} \\ & 1 & & -x_{n-3} \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & 1\ 0 & -x_2 \\ & & 0\ 1 & -x_1 \end{bmatrix} \in GL_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z}),$$

where

$$x_j = \frac{r^{j+1}-1}{r-1} \tag{14}$$

for $1 \le j \le n-1$. It's worth to note that $\Delta^n = I_{n-1}$ and $\det\Delta = (-1)^{n-1}$.

Lemma 13. *If Δ is conjugate to*

$$\Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} a & & * \\ 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ 0 & & \ddots \\ & & 1\ b \end{bmatrix}$$

in $GL_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z})$, then $K(G)$ is rational over K.

Proof.

Step 1. We shall first show that Γ is conjugate to the matrix

$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & -1 \\ 1 & & & -1 \\ & 1 & & -1 \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & 1 & -1 \\ & & & 1 \ -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Below, we shall show how to find a matrix $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_{n-1} \cdots \mathcal{P}_1$ such that $\mathcal{P}^{-1}\Gamma\mathcal{P} = \Sigma$.

Set $\mathcal{P}_{n-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \begin{matrix} 1 & -b-1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{matrix} \end{bmatrix}$, then

$$\mathcal{N}_{n-1} := \mathcal{P}_{n-1}^{-1}\Gamma\mathcal{P}_{n-1} = \begin{bmatrix} a & & & * \\ 1 & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & 1 \ -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now, suppose we have

$$\mathcal{N}_{i+1} = \begin{bmatrix} a & & & * & & \\ 1 & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & \begin{matrix} 1 & a_i \\ & 1 \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} a_{i+1} & \cdots & a_{n-1} \\ 0 & & -1 \end{matrix} \\ 0 & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Conjugate $\mathcal{N}_{i+1}$ with the matrix

$$\mathcal{P}_i = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & \begin{matrix} 1 & -a_i \\ & 1 \end{matrix} & \cdots & -a_{n-1}-1 \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

we get

$$\mathcal{N}_i := \mathcal{P}_i^{-1}\mathcal{N}_{i+1}\mathcal{P}_i = \begin{bmatrix} a & & & * & & \\ 1 & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & \begin{matrix} 1 & b_{i-1} \\ & 1 \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} b_i & \cdots & b_{n-1} \\ 0 & \cdots & -1 \end{matrix} \\ 0 & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

for some b_j. Repeat the process, then Δ is conjugate to $\mathcal{N} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_{n-2} & a_{n-1} \\ 1 & & & & -1 \\ & 1 & & & -1 \\ & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ in $GL_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z})$, for some a_j. Note that $I_{n-1} = \Delta^n = \mathcal{N}^n$, regard $\mathcal{N}$ as the linear

transformation:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{e}_1 &\mapsto a_1\mathfrak{e}_1 + a_2\mathfrak{e}_2 + \cdots + a_{n-2}\mathfrak{e}_{n-2} + a_{n-1}\mathfrak{e}_{n-1} \\
\mathfrak{e}_2 &\mapsto \mathfrak{e}_1 - \mathfrak{e}_{n-1} \\
\mathfrak{e}_3 &\mapsto \mathfrak{e}_2 - \mathfrak{e}_{n-1} \\
&\vdots \\
\mathfrak{e}_{n-1} &\mapsto \mathfrak{e}_{n-2} - \mathfrak{e}_{n-1}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\{\mathfrak{e}_i\}$ is the standard basis of K^{n-1}. Then the action of $\mathcal{N}^n$ on $\mathfrak{e}_{\mathfrak{n}-\mathfrak{1}}$ is:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{e}_{n-1} \mapsto\ & (a_1 - 1)(a_1\mathfrak{e}_1 + a_2\mathfrak{e}_2 + \cdots + a_{n-2}\mathfrak{e}_{n-2} + a_{n-1}\mathfrak{e}_{n-1}) \\
& + a_2(\mathfrak{e}_1 - \mathfrak{e}_{n-1}) + \cdots + a_{n-2}(\mathfrak{e}_{n-3} - \mathfrak{e}_{n-1}) + (a_{n-1} + 1)(\mathfrak{e}_{n-2} - \mathfrak{e}_{n-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Write $\beta = a_1 - 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{e}_{n-1} = & (\beta a_1 + a_2)\mathfrak{e}_1 + (\beta a_2 + a_3)\mathfrak{e}_2 + \cdots + (\beta a_{n-2} + a_{n-1} + 1)\mathfrak{e}_{n-2} \\
& + (\beta a_{n-1} - a_2 - \cdots - a_{n-1} - 1)\mathfrak{e}_{n-1},
\end{aligned}$$

which gives us a system of equations. Solve it to get

$$\begin{aligned}
a_2 &= -\beta a_1 \\
a_3 &= \beta^2 a_1 \\
&\cdots \\
a_{i+1} &= (-1)^i \beta^i a_1 \\
&\cdots \\
a_{n-2} &= (-1)^{n-3}\beta^{n-3} a_1 \\
a_{n-1} &= (-1)^{n-2}\beta^{n-2} a_1 - 1
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}1 &= \beta a_{n-1} - (a_2 + a_3 + \cdots + a_{n-2} + a_{n-1} + 1)\\ &= \beta a_{n-1} + \beta a_1 - \beta^2 a_1 + \cdots + (-1)^{n-2}\beta^{n-3} a_1 + (-1)^{n-1}\beta^{n-2} a_1\\ &= [(-1)^{n-2}\beta^{n-1} a_1 - \beta] + \beta a_1 \frac{(-\beta)^{n-2} - 1}{(-\beta) - 1}\\ &= (-1)^{n-2}(a_1 - 1)^n.\end{aligned}$$

Since n is odd, we get $a_1 = a_2 = \cdots = a_{n-2} = 0$ and $a_{n-1} = -1$. Hence Δ is conjugate to the matrix Σ.

Step 2. We shall show that $K(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{n-1}, X_n)^G$ is rational over K.

From (12), (13) and Step 1, we may assume that

$$K(X_1, \ldots, X_n)^G = K(Y_1, \ldots, Y_{n-1})^{\langle \sigma_2 \rangle}(Z),$$

where the action of σ_2 on $Y_1, \ldots, Y_{n-1}$ is given by

$$\sigma_2 : Y_1 \mapsto Y_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto Y_{n-2} \mapsto Y_{n-1} \mapsto \frac{1}{Y_1 Y_2 \cdots Y_{n-1}}.$$

Define

$$Z_i = \frac{1 + \zeta_n^i Y_1 + \zeta_n^{2i} Y_1 Y_2 + \cdots + \zeta_n^{i(n-1)} Y_1 \cdots Y_{n-1}}{1 + Y_1 + Y_1 Y_2 + \cdots + Y_1 Y_2 \cdots Y_{n-1}}, 1 \le i \le n-1.$$

Then

$$\sigma_2 : Z_1 \mapsto \zeta_n^{n-1} Z_1, Z_2 \mapsto \zeta_n^{2(n-1)} Z_2, \ldots, Z_{n-1} \mapsto \zeta_n^{(n-1)^2} Z_{n-1}.$$

It is a linear action and $\zeta_n \in K$, so by Theorem 2, $K(Z_1, \ldots, Z_{n-1})^{\langle \sigma_2 \rangle}$ is rational.

Moreover,

$$Z_1 + Z_2 + \cdots + Z_{n-1} = \frac{n - (1 + Y_1 + Y_1 Y_2 + \cdots + Y_1 Y_2 \cdots Y_{n-1})}{1 + Y_1 + Y_1 Y_2 + \cdots + Y_1 Y_2 \cdots Y_{n-1}}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
&K(Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_{n-1}) \\
&= K(1 + \zeta_n^i Y_1 + \zeta_n^{2i} Y_1 Y_2 + \cdots + \zeta_n^{i(n-1)} Y_1 Y_2 \cdots Y_{n-1} : 0 \le i \le n-1) \\
&= K(Y_1, Y_1 Y_2, \ldots, Y_1 Y_2 \cdots Y_{n-1}) \\
&= K(Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_{n-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
K(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{n-1}, X_n)^G &= K(Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_{n-1})^{\langle \sigma_2 \rangle}(Z) \\
&= K(Z_1, \ldots, Z_{n-1})^{\langle \sigma_2 \rangle}(Z)
\end{aligned}$$

is rational over K.

□

3.2

In this section, we describe the algorithm to transform Δ to a matrix of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & & & * \\ e_1 & e_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & e_{n-2}\ b \end{bmatrix}.$$

We first list two simple lemmas, the proofs are straightforward and omitted.

Lemma 14. *Let $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ be relatively prime. There exists $\mathcal{M} \in SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ with first column $\langle a_1, \ldots, a_n \rangle^t$.*

Lemma 15. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a matrix of the form $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \mathcal{A} & \mathcal{C} \\ \mathcal{B} & \mathcal{D} \end{smallmatrix}\right]$, where $\mathcal{A} \in M_k(\mathbb{Z})$, $\mathcal{D} \in M_l(\mathbb{Z})$. The first $(k-1)$ columns of $\mathcal{B}$ are zero and the last column is $\langle b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_l \rangle^t$. Assume that $d = \gcd\{b_1, \ldots, b_l\} \neq 0$ and $b'_j = b_j/d$. Choose any matrix $\mathcal{P}' \in SL_l(\mathbb{Z})$ such that the first column is $\langle b'_1, b'_2, \ldots, b'_l \rangle^t$. Set $\mathcal{P} = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} I_k & \\ & \mathcal{P}' \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ and $\mathcal{P}^{-1}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{P} = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} \mathcal{A}' & \mathcal{C}' \\ \mathcal{B}' & \mathcal{D}' \end{smallmatrix}\right]$.*

Then $\mathcal{D}' = (\mathcal{P}')^{-1}\mathcal{D}\mathcal{P}'$ *and all the entries of* $\mathcal{B}'$ *are zero except that the* $(1,k)$ *entry is* d.

Suppose we have

$$a_1 m' = \alpha_{n-2} r^{n-2} + \alpha_{n-3} r^{n-3} + \cdots + \alpha_1 r + \alpha_0, \tag{15}$$

where $a_1 \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. We may assume $\gcd\{a_1, \alpha_{n-2}, \alpha_{n-3}, \ldots, \alpha_1, \alpha_0\} = 1$. We define

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n-1} &= \alpha_{n-2} \\ a_{n-2} &= \alpha_{n-2} r + \alpha_{n-3} \\ &\vdots \\ a_i &= \alpha_{n-2} r^{n-i-1} + \alpha_{n-3} r^{n-i-2} + \cdots + \alpha_{i-1} \\ &\vdots \\ a_2 &= \alpha_{n-2} r^{n-3} + \alpha_{n-3} r^{n-4} + \cdots + \alpha_2 r + \alpha_1. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Then $\gcd\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n-1}\} = 1$. Thus by Lemma 14, there is a matrix $\mathcal{P}_0 \in SL_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z})$ with the first column $\langle a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n-1}\rangle^t$.

Let $\mathcal{B}_1 = [b_{ij}^{(1)}] = \mathcal{P}_0^{-1}\Delta\mathcal{P}_0$. Note that if $\langle b_{21}^{(1)}, b_{31}^{(1)}, \ldots, b_{n-1,1}^{(1)}\rangle$ is zero, then the matrix $\mathcal{B}_1$ is reducible. Since n is prime, the minimal polynomial of Δ is $X^{n-1} + \cdots + X + 1$, which is irreducible, a contradiction. Hence at least one of $b_{i1}^{(1)}$ is not zero. Let $e_1 = \gcd\{b_{21}^{(1)}, b_{31}^{(1)}, \ldots, b_{n-1,1}^{(1)}\} \neq 0$ and put $b_{k1}^{\prime(1)} = b_{k1}^{(1)}/e_1$ for $2 \leq k \leq n-1$. Then we have

$$\gcd\{b_{21}^{\prime(1)}, b_{31}^{\prime(1)}, \ldots, b_{n-1,1}^{\prime(1)}\} = 1.$$

By Lemma 14 again, there is a matrix $\mathcal{P}_1 = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & \\ & \mathcal{P}_1' \end{smallmatrix}\right]$, where $\mathcal{P}_1' \in SL_{n-2}(\mathbb{Z})$ with the first column $\langle b_{21}^{\prime(1)}, b_{31}^{\prime(1)}, \ldots, b_{n-1,1}^{\prime(1)}\rangle^t$.

Let $\mathcal{B}_2 = [b_{ij}^{(2)}] = \mathcal{P}_1^{-1}\mathcal{B}_1 P_1$, then by Lemma 15, $\mathcal{B}_2$ has the form

$$\mathcal{B}_2 = \left[\begin{array}{c:ccc} b_{11}^{(1)} & * & & \\ \hdashline e_1 & b_{22}^{(2)} & & \\ 0 & b_{32}^{(2)} & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & * & \\ 0 & b_{n-1,2}^{(2)} & & \end{array}\right].$$

As argument above, for $3 \leq k \leq n-1$, at least one of $b_{k2}^{(2)}$ is not zero. Let $e_2 = \gcd\{b_{32}^{(2)}, b_{42}^{(2)}, \ldots, b_{n-1,2}^{(2)}\} \neq 0$ and put $b_{k2}^{'(2)} = b_{k2}^{(2)}/e_2$. Then we have

$$\gcd\{b_{32}^{'(2)}, b_{42}^{'(2)}, \ldots, b_{n-1,2}^{'(2)}\} = 1.$$

There is a matrix $\mathcal{P}_2 = \left[\begin{array}{cc} I_2 & \\ & \mathcal{P}_2' \end{array}\right]$, where $\mathcal{P}_2' \in SL_{n-3}(\mathbb{Z})$ with the first column $\langle b_{32}^{'(2)}, b_{42}^{'(2)}, \ldots, b_{n-1,2}^{'(2)}\rangle^t$.

Let $\mathcal{B}_3 = [b_{ij}^{(3)}] = \mathcal{P}_2^{-1}\mathcal{B}_2 P_2$, then $\mathcal{B}_3$ has the form

$$\mathcal{B}_3 = \left[\begin{array}{cc:cc} b_{11}^{(1)} & * & & \\ e_1 & * & * & \\ \hdashline 0 & e_2 & b_{33}^{(3)} & \\ 0 & 0 & b_{43}^{(3)} & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\ 0 & 0 & b_{n-1,3}^{(3)} & \end{array}\right].$$

Proceed repeatedly as above. At last, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B}_{n-3} &= \mathcal{P}_{n-4}^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{n-4}\mathcal{P}_{n-4} \\ &= \left[\begin{array}{ccc:ccc} b_{11}^{(n-3)} & & & & & \\ & e_1 & * & & & \\ & & \ddots & & * & \\ \hdashline & & & e_{n-4} & b_{n-3,n-3}^{(n-3)} & b_{n-3,n-2}^{(n-3)} & b_{n-3,n-1}^{(n-3)} \\ & 0 & & & b_{n-2,n-3}^{(n-3)} & b_{n-2,n-2}^{(n-3)} & b_{n-2,n-1}^{(n-3)} \\ & & & & b_{n-1,n-3}^{(n-3)} & b_{n-1,n-2}^{(n-3)} & b_{n-1,n-1}^{(n-3)} \end{array}\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Choose $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $b_{n-2,n-3}^{'(n-3)}\beta - b_{n-1,n-3}^{'(n-3)}\alpha = 1$, then

$$\mathcal{P}_{n-3} = \left[\begin{array}{c:cc} I_{n-3} & & \\ \hdashline & b_{n-2,n-3}^{'(n-3)} & \alpha \\ & b_{n-1,n-3}^{'(n-3)} & \beta \end{array}\right] \in SL_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z}) \tag{18}$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}_{n-2} = \mathcal{P}_{n-3}^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{n-3}\mathcal{P}_{n-3} = \left[\begin{array}{ccc:cc} b_{11}^{(n-2)} & & & & \\ & e_1 & * & & \\ & & \ddots & * & \\ \hdashline & & & b_{n-2,n-2}^{(n-2)} & b_{n-2,n-1}^{(n-2)} \\ & 0 & & b_{n-1,n-2}^{(n-2)} & b_{n-1,n-1}^{(n-2)} \end{array}\right]. \tag{19}$$

3.3

In this section, we shall show that $e_1 = \cdots = e_{n-3} = b_{n-1,n-2}^{(n-2)} = 1$.

We claim that, with notations as in previous section, we have

$$b_{n-1,n-2}^{(n-2)} = \frac{N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)/\mathbb{Q}}(x)}{m' e_{n-3}^2 e_{n-4}^3 \dots e_1^{n-2}},$$

where $x = \alpha_{n-2}\zeta_n^{n-2} + \alpha_{n-3}\zeta_n^{n-3} + \cdots + \alpha_1\zeta_n + \alpha_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$.

Step 1. We first show that $b_{n-1,n-2}^{(n-2)}$ is actually a determinant of a particular matrix (23).

From (17), (18) and (19), we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \beta & -\alpha \\ -b_{n-1,n-3}^{'(n-3)} & b_{n-2,n-3}^{'(n-3)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_{n-2,n-2}^{(n-3)} & b_{n-2,n-1}^{(n-3)} \\ b_{n-1,n-2}^{(n-3)} & b_{n-1,n-1}^{(n-3)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_{n-2,n-3}^{'(n-3)} & \alpha \\ b_{n-1,n-3}^{'(n-3)} & \beta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{n-2,n-2}^{(n-2)} & b_{n-2,n-1}^{(n-2)} \\ b_{n-1,n-2}^{(n-2)} & b_{n-1,n-1}^{(n-2)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

By direct computation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} b_{n-1,n-2}^{(n-2)} &= \begin{vmatrix} 0 & -b_{n-1,n-3}^{'(n-3)} & b_{n-2,n-3}^{'(n-3)} \\ b_{n-2,n-3}^{'(n-3)} & b_{n-2,n-2}^{(n-3)} & b_{n-2,n-1}^{(n-3)} \\ b_{n-1,n-3}^{'(n-3)} & b_{n-1,n-2}^{(n-3)} & b_{n-1,n-1}^{(n-3)} \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{e_{n-3}^2} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & -b_{n-1,n-3}^{(n-3)} & b_{n-2,n-3}^{(n-3)} \\ b_{n-2,n-3}^{(n-3)} & b_{n-2,n-2}^{(n-3)} & b_{n-2,n-1}^{(n-3)} \\ b_{n-1,n-3}^{(n-3)} & b_{n-1,n-2}^{(n-3)} & b_{n-1,n-1}^{(n-3)} \end{vmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Note that $\langle -b_{n-1,n-3}^{(n-3)}, b_{n-2,n-3}^{(n-3)} \rangle = \wedge^1\langle b_{n-2,n-3}^{(n-3)}, b_{n-1,n-3}^{(n-3)} \rangle^t$, where $\wedge^1\langle b_{n-2,n-3}^{(n-3)}, b_{n-1,n-3}^{(n-3)} \rangle^t$ is defined in Definition 11, and

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_{n-3,n-3}^{(n-3)} & b_{n-3,n-2}^{(n-3)} & b_{n-3,n-1}^{(n-3)} \\ b_{n-2,n-3}^{(n-3)} & b_{n-2,n-2}^{(n-3)} & b_{n-2,n-1}^{(n-3)} \\ b_{n-1,n-3}^{(n-3)} & b_{n-1,n-2}^{(n-3)} & b_{n-1,n-1}^{(n-3)} \end{bmatrix} = \mathcal{P}_{n-4}^{'-1} \begin{bmatrix} b_{n-3,n-3}^{(n-4)} & b_{n-3,n-2}^{(n-4)} & b_{n-3,n-1}^{(n-4)} \\ b_{n-2,n-3}^{(n-4)} & b_{n-2,n-2}^{(n-4)} & b_{n-2,n-1}^{(n-4)} \\ b_{n-1,n-3}^{(n-4)} & b_{n-1,n-2}^{(n-4)} & b_{n-1,n-1}^{(n-4)} \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{P}_{n-4}',$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{n-4}' \in SL_3(\mathbb{Z})$ with first column $\mathfrak{q}' := \langle b_{n-3,n-4}^{'(n-4)}, b_{n-2,n-4}^{'(n-4)}, b_{n-1,n-4}^{'(n-4)} \rangle^t$.

Apply Proposition 12 to (20), where we take

$$\mathcal{B} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{n-3,n-3}^{(n-4)} & b_{n-3,n-2}^{(n-4)} & b_{n-3,n-1}^{(n-4)} \\ b_{n-2,n-3}^{(n-4)} & b_{n-2,n-2}^{(n-4)} & b_{n-2,n-1}^{(n-4)} \\ b_{n-1,n-3}^{(n-4)} & b_{n-1,n-2}^{(n-4)} & b_{n-1,n-1}^{(n-4)} \end{bmatrix},$$

we get

$$b_{n-1,n-2}^{(n-2)} = -\frac{1}{e_{n-3}^2} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & & \wedge^2[\mathfrak{q}', \mathcal{B}\mathfrak{q}'] & \\ b_{n-3,n-4}^{'(n-4)} & b_{n-3,n-3}^{(n-4)} & b_{n-3,n-2}^{(n-4)} & b_{n-3,n-1}^{(n-4)} \\ b_{n-2,n-4}^{'(n-4)} & b_{n-2,n-3}^{(n-4)} & b_{n-2,n-2}^{(n-4)} & b_{n-2,n-1}^{(n-4)} \\ b_{n-1,n-4}^{'(n-4)} & b_{n-1,n-3}^{(n-4)} & b_{n-1,n-2}^{(n-4)} & b_{n-1,n-1}^{(n-4)} \end{vmatrix}. \tag{21}$$

Write $\mathfrak{q} = \langle b^{(n-4)}_{n-3,n-4}, b^{(n-4)}_{n-2,n-4}, b^{(n-4)}_{n-1,n-4}\rangle^t$, then we have $\mathfrak{q} = e_{n-4}\mathfrak{q}'$. Thus, each column of the matrix $[\mathfrak{q}, \mathcal{B}\mathfrak{q}]$ is e_{n-4} times that of $[\mathfrak{q}', \mathcal{B}\mathfrak{q}']$. Therefore, we get

$$\wedge^2 [\mathfrak{q}', \mathcal{B}\mathfrak{q}'] = \frac{1}{e^2_{n-4}} \wedge^2 [\mathfrak{q}, \mathcal{B}\mathfrak{q}]. \tag{22}$$

From (21) and (22), we obtain

$$b^{(n-2)}_{n-1,n-2} = -\frac{1}{e^2_{n-3}e^3_{n-4}} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & \wedge^2[\mathfrak{q},\mathcal{B}\mathfrak{q}] \\ b^{(n-4)}_{n-3,n-4} & b^{(n-4)}_{n-3,n-3}\ b^{(n-4)}_{n-3,n-2}\ b^{(n-4)}_{n-3,n-1} \\ b^{(n-4)}_{n-2,n-4} & b^{(n-4)}_{n-2,n-3}\ b^{(n-4)}_{n-2,n-2}\ b^{(n-4)}_{n-2,n-1} \\ b^{(n-4)}_{n-1,n-4} & b^{(n-4)}_{n-1,n-3}\ b^{(n-4)}_{n-1,n-2}\ b^{(n-4)}_{n-1,n-1} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Apply Proposition 12 repeatedly, at last, we get

$$b^{(n-2)}_{n-1,n-2} = \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{e^2_{n-3}e^3_{n-4}\cdots e_1^{n-2}} \left|\begin{array}{c:ccc} 0 & \wedge^{n-2}[\mathfrak{p}, \Delta\mathfrak{p}, \ldots, \Delta^{n-3}\mathfrak{p}] \\ \hdashline a_1 & r & & -\frac{x_{n-1}}{m'} \\ a_2 & m' & & -x_{n-2} \\ a_3 & & 1 & -x_{n-3} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n-1} & & 1 & -x_1 \end{array}\right|, \tag{23}$$

where $\mathfrak{p} = \langle a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n-1}\rangle^t$.

Step 2. We shall compute $b^{(n-2)}_{n-1,n-2}$ in (23) more explicitly.

Write $\mathcal{H} = [\mathfrak{p}, \Delta\mathfrak{p}, \ldots, \Delta^{n-3}\mathfrak{p}] = \begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{h}^1 \\ \mathfrak{h}^2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathfrak{h}^{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\wedge^{n-2} [\mathfrak{p}, \Delta\mathfrak{p}, \ldots, \Delta^{n-3}\mathfrak{p}] \\ &= \left\langle (-1)^{n-2} \begin{vmatrix} \mathfrak{h}^2 \\ \mathfrak{h}^3 \\ \vdots \\ \mathfrak{h}^{n-2} \\ \mathfrak{h}^{n-1} \end{vmatrix}, \frac{(-1)^{n-3}}{m'} \begin{vmatrix} m'\mathfrak{h}^1 \\ \mathfrak{h}^3 \\ \vdots \\ \mathfrak{h}^{n-2} \\ \mathfrak{h}^{n-1} \end{vmatrix}, \ldots, \frac{-1}{m'} \begin{vmatrix} m'\mathfrak{h}^1 \\ \mathfrak{h}^2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathfrak{h}^{n-3} \\ \mathfrak{h}^{n-1} \end{vmatrix}, \frac{1}{m'} \begin{vmatrix} m'\mathfrak{h}^1 \\ \mathfrak{h}^2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathfrak{h}^{n-3} \\ \mathfrak{h}^{n-2} \end{vmatrix} \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

For each $2 \leq i \leq n-2$, the ith component of $\wedge^{n-2}[\mathfrak{p}, \Delta\mathfrak{p}, \ldots, \Delta^{n-3}\mathfrak{p}]$ is

$$d_i := \frac{(-1)^{n-i-1}}{m'} \begin{vmatrix} m'\mathfrak{h}^1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathfrak{h}^{i-1} \\ \widehat{\mathfrak{h}^i} \\ \mathfrak{h}^{i+1} \\ \vdots \\ \mathfrak{h}^{n-1} \end{vmatrix} = \frac{(-1)^{n-i-1}}{m'} \begin{vmatrix} m'\mathfrak{h}^1 - r\mathfrak{h}^2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathfrak{h}^{i-1} - r^2\mathfrak{h}^{i+1} \\ \widehat{\mathfrak{h}^i} \\ \mathfrak{h}^{i+1} - r\mathfrak{h}^{i+2} \\ \vdots \\ \mathfrak{h}^{n-2} - r\mathfrak{h}^{n-1} \\ \mathfrak{h}^{n-1} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Define $\mathcal{R} = \begin{bmatrix} m'\mathfrak{h}^1 - r\mathfrak{h}^2 \\ \mathfrak{h}^2 - r\mathfrak{h}^3 \\ \vdots \\ \mathfrak{h}^{n-2} - r\mathfrak{h}^{n-1} \\ \mathfrak{h}^{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$ and denote the ith row of $\mathcal{R}$ by $\mathfrak{r}^i$, we get

$$d_i = \frac{(-1)^{n-i-1}}{m'} \begin{vmatrix} \mathfrak{r}^1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathfrak{r}^{i-1} + r\mathfrak{r}^i \\ \mathfrak{r}^{i+1} \\ \vdots \\ \mathfrak{r}^{n-1} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Similarly, the last component is

$$d_{n-1} := \frac{1}{m'} \begin{vmatrix} \mathfrak{h}^1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathfrak{h}^{n-3} \\ \mathfrak{h}^{n-2} \end{vmatrix} = \frac{1}{m'} \begin{vmatrix} \mathfrak{r}^1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathfrak{r}^{n-3} \\ \mathfrak{h}^{n-2} \end{vmatrix} = \frac{1}{m'} \begin{vmatrix} \mathfrak{r}^1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathfrak{r}^{n-3} \\ \mathfrak{r}^{n-2} + r\mathfrak{r}^{n-1} \end{vmatrix}$$

and the first component is $d_1 := (-1)^{n-2} \begin{vmatrix} \mathfrak{r}^2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathfrak{r}^{n-1} \end{vmatrix}$.

For each $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, let ω_i be minor of $\mathcal{R}$ by deleting ith row, then we obtain

$$d_1 = (-1)^{n-2}\omega_1, \qquad d_{n-1} = \frac{1}{m'}(\omega_{n-1} + r\omega_{n-2}) \tag{24}$$

and

$$d_i = \frac{(-1)^{n-i-1}}{m'}(\omega_i + r\omega_{i-1}) \tag{25}$$

for all $2 \leq i \leq n-2$.

Now we consider the determinant in (23), we have

$$\begin{vmatrix} 0 & d_1 & d_2 & \dots & d_{n-2} & d_{n-1} \\ a_1 & r & & & & -\frac{x_{n-1}}{m'} \\ a_2 & m' & & & & -x_{n-2} \\ a_3 & & 1 & & & -x_{n-3} \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ a_{n-1} & & & & 1 & -x_1 \end{vmatrix} = \frac{1}{m'} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & d_1 & m'd_2 & \dots & m'd_{n-2} & m'd_{n-1} \\ m'a_1 & r & & & & -x_{n-1} \\ a_2 & 1 & & & & -x_{n-2} \\ a_3 & & 1 & & & -x_{n-3} \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ a_{n-1} & & & & 1 & -x_1 \end{vmatrix}. \tag{26}$$

By the relations in (15), (16) and the definition in (14), apply suitable row operations, (26) is equal to

$$\frac{1}{m'} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & d_1 & m'd_2 & \dots & m'd_{n-2} & m'd_{n-1} \\ \alpha_0 & 0 & & & & -1 \\ \alpha_1 & 1 & -r & & & -1 \\ \alpha_2 & & 1 & & & -1 \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \alpha_{n-2} & & & & 1 & -x_1 \end{vmatrix}. \tag{27}$$

Using (24) and (25), the determinant in (27) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &\begin{vmatrix} 0 & (-1)^{n-2}\omega_1 & (-1)^{n-3}(\omega_2+r\omega_1) & \dots & -(\omega_{n-2}+r\omega_{n-3}) & (\omega_{n-1}+r\omega_{n-2}) \\ \alpha_0 & 0 & & & & -1 \\ \alpha_1 & 1 & -r & & & -1 \\ \alpha_2 & & 1 & & & -1 \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \alpha_{n-2} & & & & 1 & -x_1 \end{vmatrix} \\ = &\begin{vmatrix} 0 & (-1)^{n-2}\omega_1 & (-1)^{n-3}\omega_2 & \dots & -\omega_{n-2} & \omega_{n-1} \\ \alpha_0 & 0 & & & & -1 \\ \alpha_1 & 1 & 0 & & & -1 \\ \alpha_2 & & 1 & & & -1 \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \alpha_{n-2} & & & & 1 & -1 \end{vmatrix}. \text{ (by column operations)} \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Expand the determinant in (28) along the first row, and from (26)-(28), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b^{(n-2)}_{n-1,n-2} \\
&= \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{m'c}(-1)^{n-1}\{(-1)^{n+1}(\alpha_1-\alpha_0)\omega_1+(-1)^{n+2}(\alpha_2-\alpha_0)\omega_2+\cdots+ \\
&\qquad\qquad (-1)^{n+(n-2)}(\alpha_{n-2}-\alpha_0)\omega_{n-2}+\alpha_0\omega_{n-1}\} \\
&= \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{m'c}\{(\alpha_1-\alpha_0)\omega_1-(\alpha_2-\alpha_0)\omega_2+\cdots+ \\
&\qquad\qquad (-1)^{n-3}(\alpha_{n-2}-\alpha_0)\omega_{n-2}+(-1)^{n-2}(-\alpha_0)\omega_{n-1}\} \\
&= \frac{1}{m'c}\left|\begin{array}{c:c} & \alpha_0-\alpha_1 \\ & \alpha_0-\alpha_2 \\ \mathcal{R} & \vdots \\ & \alpha_0-\alpha_{n-2} \\ & \alpha_0 \end{array}\right|, \text{ (by definition of } \omega_i)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c = e_{n-3}^2 \cdots e_1^{n-2}$.

In the following, we shall compute $\mathcal{R}$ explicitly.

Recall that $\mathcal{R} = \begin{bmatrix} m'\mathfrak{h}^1 - r\mathfrak{h}^2 \\ \mathfrak{h}^2 - r\mathfrak{h}^3 \\ \vdots \\ \mathfrak{h}^{n-2} - r\mathfrak{h}^{n-1} \\ \mathfrak{h}^{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$ and $\mathcal{H} = [\mathfrak{p}, \Delta\mathfrak{p}, \ldots, \Delta^{n-3}\mathfrak{p}] = \begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{h}^1 \\ \mathfrak{h}^2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathfrak{h}^{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$. To compute $\mathcal{R}$ explicitly, we shall first compute Δ^i explicitly.

The powers of the matrix Δ are given by

$$\Delta^i = [\mathfrak{b}_i, \mathfrak{e}_{i+2}, \mathfrak{e}_{i+3}, \ldots, \mathfrak{e}_{n-1}, \mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{e}_2, \mathfrak{e}_3, \ldots, \mathfrak{e}_{i-1}], \tag{29}$$

where $2 \le i \le n-2$ and

$$\mathfrak{b}_i = \begin{bmatrix} r^i \\ r^{i-1}m' \\ r^{i-2}m' \\ \vdots \\ m' \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \mathfrak{f} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{x_{n-1}}{m'} \\ -x_{n-2} \\ -x_{n-3} \\ \vdots \\ -x_1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \mathfrak{g} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x_{n-1}}{m'} \\ rx_{n-3} \\ rx_{n-4} \\ \vdots \\ rx_1 \\ r \end{bmatrix}.$$

The verification of (29) is straightforward and can be done by induction and using

the relations:

$$x_i x_{n-j} - r x_{i-1} x_{n-j-1} = x_{n+i-j},$$

where $i \geq 2$ and $j \leq n-2$.

From (29) and the relations

$$r a_i - a_{i-1} + \alpha_{i-2} = 0 \quad \text{for } 3 \leq i \leq n-1,$$

the entries of $\mathcal{R}$ can be computed explicitly. For example, the entries in the first row of $\mathcal{R}$ are

$$\begin{aligned} r_{1j} &= m' a_1 r^{j-1} - a_{n-j+1} x_{n-1} + a_{n-j+2} x_{n-1} \\ &\quad - r a_1 r^{j-2} m' + r a_{n-j+1} x_{n-2} - r^2 a_{n-j+2} x_{n-3} - r a_{n-j+3} \\ &= -\alpha_{n-j} + \alpha_{n-j+1}, \end{aligned}$$

for $j \geq 3$. Thus, we conclude that

$$b_{n-1,n-2}^{(n-2)} = \frac{1}{m'c} \begin{vmatrix} \alpha_0 & -\alpha_{n-2} & \alpha_{n-2}-\alpha_{n-3} & \alpha_{n-3}-\alpha_{n-4} & \cdots & \alpha_0-\alpha_1 \\ \alpha_1 & \alpha_0-\alpha_{n-2} & -\alpha_{n-3} & \alpha_{n-2}-\alpha_{n-4} & \cdots & \alpha_0-\alpha_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \alpha_{n-3} & \alpha_{n-4}-\alpha_{n-2} & \alpha_{n-5}-\alpha_{n-3} & \alpha_{n-6}-\alpha_{n-4} & \cdots & \alpha_0-\alpha_{n-2} \\ \alpha_{n-2} & \alpha_{n-3}-\alpha_{n-2} & \alpha_{n-4}-\alpha_{n-3} & \alpha_{n-5}-\alpha_{n-4} & \cdots & \alpha_0 \end{vmatrix}. \tag{30}$$

Let $x = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \zeta_n + \cdots + \alpha_{n-2} \zeta_n^{n-2} \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$, the norm of x is

$$N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)/\mathbb{Q}}(x) = \begin{vmatrix} \alpha_0 & -\alpha_{n-2} & \alpha_{n-2}-\alpha_{n-3} & \alpha_{n-3}-\alpha_{n-4} & \cdots & \alpha_2-\alpha_1 \\ \alpha_1 & \alpha_0-\alpha_{n-2} & -\alpha_{n-3} & \alpha_{n-2}-\alpha_{n-4} & \cdots & \alpha_3-\alpha_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \alpha_{n-3} & \alpha_{n-4}-\alpha_{n-2} & \alpha_{n-5}-\alpha_{n-3} & \alpha_{n-6}-\alpha_{n-4} & \cdots & -\alpha_1 \\ \alpha_{n-2} & \alpha_{n-3}-\alpha_{n-2} & \alpha_{n-4}-\alpha_{n-3} & \alpha_{n-5}-\alpha_{n-4} & \cdots & \alpha_0-\alpha_1 \end{vmatrix}. \tag{31}$$

By adding all the first $n-2$ columns to last column of (31), we can conclude that the determinant in (30) is actually the norm of x; that is,

$$b_{n-1,n-2}^{(n-2)} = \frac{N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)/\mathbb{Q}}(x)}{m'c}.$$

Now, from assumption that $N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)/\mathbb{Q}}(x) = m'$, we get

$$b_{n-1,n-2}^{(n-2)} = \frac{N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)/\mathbb{Q}}(x)}{m' e_{n-3}^2 \cdots e_1^{n-2}} = \frac{1}{e_{n-3}^2 \cdots e_1^{n-2}}.$$

Since $\mathcal{B}_j$ are integral matrices and $e_i \in \mathbb{N}$, we conclude that $e_1 = \cdots = e_{n-3} = b_{n-1,n-2}^{(n-2)} = 1$, which completes the proof of the Main Theorem.

4 Corollaries and Examples

In fact, the most important case of Main Theorem is the following theorem.

Theorem 16. *Let p, q be odd primes, K be a field such that neither p nor q divides the characteristic of K and both ζ_p, ζ_q lie in K. Let*

$$G = C_p \rtimes_r C_q = \langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2 : \sigma_1^p = \sigma_2^q = 1, \sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1\sigma_2 = \sigma_1^r \rangle,$$

where $r^q \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. If there exists $x \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_q]$ such that the norm $N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_q)/\mathbb{Q}}(x) = p$, then $K(G)$ is rational over K.

Proof. Suppose $x = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1\zeta_q + \cdots + \alpha_{q-2}\zeta_q^{q-2} \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_q]$ satisfies $N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_q)/\mathbb{Q}}(x) = p$. Let $d = \gcd\{\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_{q-2}\}$, we have $d^{q-1} | N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_q)/\mathbb{Q}}(x) = p$. It follows that $d = 1$.

Now we show that if $r \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ is of order q and there exists $x = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1\zeta_q + \cdots + \alpha_{q-2}\zeta_q^{q-2} \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_q]$ satisfying $N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)/\mathbb{Q}}(x) = p$, then there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 r^k + \cdots + \alpha_{q-2} r^{k(q-2)} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

In fact, let $\tilde{\phi} : \mathbb{Z}[X] \to \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ be the ring homomorphism such that $\tilde{\phi}(X) = r$. Since $r^{q-1} + \cdots + r + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, we have $\langle X^{q-1} + \cdots + X + 1 \rangle \subseteq \ker \tilde{\phi}$. Hence there exists a well-defined ring homomorphism $\phi : \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_q] \to \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, which maps ζ_q to r.

In $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_q)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \ni 0 &= \phi(N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_q)/\mathbb{Q}}(x)) \\ &= \phi(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1\zeta_q + \cdots + \alpha_{q-2}\zeta_q^{q-2}) \cdot \phi(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1\zeta_q^2 + \cdots + \alpha_{q-2}\zeta_q^{2(q-2)}) \cdots \\ &\quad \phi(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1\zeta_q^{q-1} + \cdots + \alpha_{q-2}\zeta_q^{(q-2)(q-1)}) \\ &= (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 r + \cdots + \alpha_{q-2}r^{q-2}) \cdot (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 r^2 + \cdots + \alpha_{q-2}r^{2(q-2)}) \cdots \\ &\quad (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 r^{q-1} + \cdots + \alpha_{q-2}r^{(q-2)(q-1)}).\end{aligned}$$

Hence there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 r^k + \cdots + \alpha_{q-2}r^{k(q-2)} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Note that $G = C_p \rtimes_r C_q$ is unique up to isomorphism, the choice of r can be arbitrary. Hence we may replace r by r^k, which completes the proof. □

Corollary 17 (C.f. [18])**.** *Let p, q be odd primes such that $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_q]$ is a unique factorization domain. Let K be a field such that neither p nor q divides the characteristic of K and both ζ_p, ζ_q lie in K. Let*

$$G = C_p \rtimes_r C_q = \langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2 : \sigma_1^p = \sigma_2^q = 1, \sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1\sigma_2 = \sigma_1^r \rangle,$$

where $r^q \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Then $K(G)$ is rational over K.

In fact, $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_q]$ is unique factorization domain if and only if $q < 23$.

Proof. By Theorem 16, it suffices to show that there exists $x \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_q]$ such that $N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_q)/\mathbb{Q}}(x) = p$.

Note that since $r^q \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ contains a primitive qth-root of unity, and therefore the polynomial $X^{q-1} + \cdots + X + 1$ splits into linear factors in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Since $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_q]$ is a unique factorization domain, it's a principal ideal domain. Write $p\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_q] = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_{q-1}$, where $\mathfrak{p}_i = \langle \pi_i \rangle$ for $i = 1, \ldots, q-1$. Then each pair of π_i, π_j are

conjugate and

$$p\mathbb{Z} = \langle \pi_1 \cdots \pi_{q-1} \rangle = \langle \prod_{\sigma} \sigma(\pi_1) \rangle = \langle N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_q)/\mathbb{Q}}(\pi_1) \rangle.$$

By positivity of norms, we get $p = N(\pi_1)$. □

In the following, we give a class of semidirect product groups, which are not semidirect product of two simple cyclic groups, but do satisfy the conditions of the Main Theorem.

Corollary 18. *Let q be an odd prime, $m = \alpha q^k$, where $k \geq 2$ and $q \nmid \alpha$. Let*

$$G = C_m \rtimes_r C_q = \langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2 : \sigma_1^m = \sigma_2^q = 1, \sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1\sigma_2 = \sigma_1^r \rangle,$$

where $r = \alpha q^{k-1} + 1$. Then $\mathbb{C}(G)$ is rational over $\mathbb{C}$.

Proof. It's clear that $r^q = (\alpha q^{k-1} + 1)^q \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ and $m' = \frac{m}{\gcd(m, r-1)} = q$.

Put $x = 1 - \zeta_q$. Note that $X^{q-1} + \cdots + X + 1 = (X - \zeta_q)(X - \zeta_q^2) \cdots (X - \zeta_q^{q-1})$. Substitute X by 1, we get

$$N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_q)/\mathbb{Q}}(1 - \zeta_q) = (1 - \zeta_q)(1 - \zeta_q^2) \cdots (1 - \zeta_q^{q-1}) = q.$$

Hence by Main Theorem, $\mathbb{C}(G)$ is rational over $\mathbb{C}$. □

We use a computer to find valid pairs p, q and elements x for the Main Theorem. Below, we list some valid examples, which are not covered by Corollary 17, but are rational by the Main Theorem.

Examples. The following triples conform to the conditions of Theorem 16:

$(q, p, x) =$

$(29, 5801, 1 + \zeta_{29} + \zeta_{29}^4), (29, 4931, 1 - \zeta_{29}^2 + \zeta_{29}^5), (29, 7193, 1 + \zeta_{29}^2 + \zeta_{29}^5),$

$(29, 9803, -1 + \zeta_{29} + \zeta_{29}^4), (29, 12413, -1 + \zeta_{29}^2 + \zeta_{29}^5), (29, 18097, 1 + \zeta_{29} + \zeta_{29}^4),$

$(29, 18503, 1 - \zeta_{29} + \zeta_{29}^5), (29, 21577, 1 + \zeta_{29}^2 + \zeta_{29}^3), (31, 5953, -1 - \zeta_{31} + \zeta_{31}^3),$

$(31, 6263, 1 - \zeta_{31} + \zeta_{31}^3), (31, 11657, 1 + \zeta_{31} + \zeta_{31}^4), (31, 16741, -1 - \zeta_{31} + \zeta_{31}^4),$
$(31, 20089, -1 + \zeta_{31} + \zeta_{31}^6), (37, 32783, 1 - \zeta_{37} + \zeta_{37}^3), (37, 68821, -1 + \zeta_{37}^2 + \zeta_{37}^5),$
$(37, 108929, 1 + \zeta_{37}^2 + \zeta_{37}^5), (37, 132313, -1 + \zeta_{37} + \zeta_{37}^4), (37, 172717, -1 - \zeta_{37} + \zeta_{37}^4),$
$(37, 262553, 1 - \zeta_{37}^3 + \zeta_{37}^4), (41, 101107, -1 - \zeta_{41} + \zeta_{41}^3), (41, 337759, 1 + \zeta_{41} + \zeta_{41}^4),$
$(41, 340793, -1 + \zeta_{41}^2 + \zeta_{41}^5), (41, 348911, 1 - \zeta_{41}^2 + \zeta_{41}^5), (41, 432059, 1 + \zeta_{41}^2 + \zeta_{41}^5).$

Acknowledgement

We are grateful to the referee for not only careful reading our paper, but also providing a simplified proof to Corollary 17 and informing us the paper written by E. Beneish and N.Ramsey. These improve the quality of our paper.

References

[1] H. Ahmad, M. Hajja, M.-C. Kang, Rationality of some projective linear actions, J. Algebra 228 (2) (2000) 643–658.

[2] E. Beneish, N. Ramsey, Lattices over cyclic groups and noether settings, Journal of Algebra 452 (2016) 212–226.

[3] F. A. Bogomolov, The Brauer group of quotient spaces of linear representations, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 51 (3) (1987) 485–516, 688.

[4] H. Chu, A. Hoshi, S.-J. Hu, M.-C. Kang, Noether's problem for groups of order 243, J. Algebra.

[5] H. Chu, S.-J. Hu, M.-C. Kang, B. E. Kunyavskii, Noether's problem and the unramified Brauer group for groups of order 64, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (12) (2010) 2329–2366.

[6] H. Chu, S.-J. Hu, M.-C. Kang, Y. G. Prokhorov, Noether's problem for groups of order 32, J. Algebra 320 (7) (2008) 3022–3035.

[7] H. Chu, M.-C. Kang, Rationality of p-group actions, J. Algebra 237 (2) (2001) 673–690.

[8] E. Fischer, Die isomorphie der invariantenkörper der endlichen abelschen gruppen lineaerer transformatioen, Nachrichten von der Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, Mathematisch-Physikalische Klasse 1915 (1915) 77–80.

[9] G. K. Haeuslein, On the invariants of finite groups having an abelian normal subgroup of prime index, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 3 (1971) 355–360.

[10] M. Hajja, A note on monomial automorphisms, J. Algebra 85 (1) (1983) 243–250.

[11] M. Hajja, Rational invariants of meta-abelian groups of linear automorphisms, J. Algebra 80 (2) (1983) 295–305.

[12] M. Hajja, Rationality of finite groups of monomial automorphisms of $k(x, y)$, J. Algebra 109 (1) (1987) 46–51.

[13] M. Hajja, M.-C. Kang, Finite group actions on rational function fields, J. Algebra 149 (1) (1992) 139–154.

[14] M. Hajja, M.-C. Kang, Three-dimensional purely monomial group actions, J. Algebra 170 (3) (1994) 805–860.

[15] M. Hajja, M.-C. Kang, Some actions of symmetric groups, J. Algebra 177 (2) (1995) 511–535.

[16] A. Hoshi, Y. Rikuna, Rationality problem of three-dimensional purely monomial group actions: the last case, Math. Comp. 77 (263) (2008) 1823–1829.

[17] N. Jacobson, Basic algebra. I, 2nd ed., W. H. Freeman and Company, New York, 1985.

[18] M.-C. Kang, Rationality problem for some meta-abelian groups, J. Algebra 322 (4) (2009) 1214–1219.

[19] M.-C. Kang, B. Plans, Reduction theorems for Noether's problem, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 137 (6) (2009) 1867–1874.

[20] H. W. Lenstra, Jr., Rational functions invariant under a finite abelian group, Invent. Math. 25 (1974) 299–325.

[21] J. M. Masley, H. L. Montgomery, Cyclotomic fields with unique factorization, J. Reine Angew. Math. 286/287 (1976) 248–256.

[22] D. J. Saltman, Generic Galois extensions and problems in field theory, Adv. in Math. 43 (3) (1982) 250–283.

[23] D. J. Saltman, Noether's problem over an algebraically closed field, Invent. Math. 77 (1) (1984) 71–84.

[24] R. G. Swan, Noether's problem in Galois theory, in: Emmy Noether in Bryn Mawr (Bryn Mawr, Pa., 1982), Springer, New York-Berlin, 1983, pp. 21–40.